

School Senior Assessment Policy

Nanango State High School – Years 11 and 12

Scope

This policy provides information for teachers, students and parents/carers about roles, responsibilities, processes and procedures to ensure the integrity of assessment that contributes to the Queensland Certificate of Education (QCE). The framework for the policy is developed from the *QCE and QCIA policy and procedures handbook* available from www.qcaa.qld.edu.au/senior/certificates-and-qualifications/qce-qcia-handbook-2019 and applies to Applied, Applied (Essential), General, General (Extension) subjects, Vocational Education and Training (VET) and Short Courses across all faculties.

Purpose

Nanango State High School is committed to an educational philosophy that encourages all students to achieve personal excellence by developing their talents and abilities. This policy is designed to build capacity as students work towards completion of summative assessment for the QCE.

Principles

Nanango State High School expectations for teaching, learning and assessment are grounded in the principles of academic integrity and excellence.

Assessment includes any examination, practical demonstration, performance or product that allows students to demonstrate the objectives as described by the syllabus. Assessment should be:

- aligned with curriculum and pedagogy
- equitable for all students
- evidence-based, using established standards and continua to make defensible and comparable judgments about students' learning
- ongoing, with a range and balance of evidence compiled over time to reflect the depth and breadth of students' learning
- transparent, to enhance professional and public confidence in the processes used, the information obtained and the decisions made
- informative of where students are in their learning.

High-quality assessment is characterised by three attributes:

- validity, through alignment with what is taught, learnt and assessed
- accessibility, so that each student is given opportunities to demonstrate what they know and can do
- reliability, so that assessment results are consistent, dependable or repeatable.

Promoting academic integrity

Nanango State High School promotes academic integrity by developing students' skills and modelling appropriate academic practices. The following whole-school procedures support this endeavour.

QCE and QCIA policy and procedures handbook	Policy and procedures
Location and communication of policy	<p>The school assessment policy is located on the school website at [www.nanangoshs.eq.edu.au] and provided to all students upon enrolment and at the beginning of Year 11 and Year 12. All questions regarding this policy should be directed to Deputy Principal – Senior Schooling.</p> <p>To ensure the assessment policy is consistently applied, it will be revisited at the beginning of the school year at year level parades and/or in CEALS classes for all students in Year 10, Year 11 and Year 12. Relevant processes will be revisited:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • at enrolment interviews • during SET planning • when the assessment schedule is published • when each task is handed to students • in the newsletter and by email in response to phases of the assessment cycle.
Expectations about engaging in learning and assessment Section 1.2.4 Section 2 Section 8.5.1	<p>Nanango State High School has high expectations for academic integrity and student participation and engagement in learning and assessment. Students become eligible for a QCE when they have accrued the set amount of learning, at the set standard, in a set pattern, while meeting literacy and numeracy requirements. Students are required to complete all course and assessment requirements on or before the due date for their results to contribute credit to the QCE.</p> <p>Student responsibility Students are expected to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • engage in the learning for the subject or course of study • produce evidence of achievement that is authenticated as their own work • submit responses to scheduled assessment on or before the due date. <p>To emphasise the importance of sound academic practices, staff and students will complete the QCAA academic integrity courses.</p>
Due dates Section 8.5.2 Section 8.5.3	<p>School responsibility Nanango State High School is required to adhere to QCAA policies for gathering evidence of student achievement on or before the due date.</p> <p>Due dates for final responses and drafts will be published in the assessment schedule. Due dates for checkpoints will be shown on the front of assessment items. All students will be provided with their assessment schedule (via email and print) by the end of Week 3.</p> <p>The assessment schedule will:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • align with syllabus requirements • provide sufficient working time for students to complete the task • allow for internal quality assurance processes • enable timelines for QCAA quality assurance processes to be met • be clear to teachers, students and parents/carers • be consistently applied • be clearly communicated by the end of Week 3 each semester • give consideration to allocation of workload.

QCE and QCIA policy and procedures handbook	Policy and procedures
	<p>Student responsibility Students are responsible for:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • recording due dates in their diaries • planning and managing their time to meet the due dates • informing the school as soon as possible if they have concerns about assessment load and meeting due dates. <p>In cases where students are unable to meet a due date, they will:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • inform the head of department and classroom teacher as soon as possible • provide the school with relevant documentation, e.g. medical certificate – Part C – Illness and Misadventure portion of AARA or similar documentation. • adhere to alternative arrangements for submission of assessment, if applicable, as decided by the school. <p>All final decisions are at the principal’s discretion. Refer to AARA information below.</p>
<p>Submitting, collecting and storing assessment information Section 9</p>	<p>Assessment instruments will provide information about Nanango State High School’s arrangements for submission of draft and final responses, including due dates, conditions and file types.</p> <p>All assessment evidence, including draft responses, will be submitted by their due date using Turnitin (https://www.turnitin.com) – Nanango State High School’s academic integrity software.</p> <p>Exceptions may apply where it is not practical to submit assessment electronically – e.g. Practical Art pieces, Engineering and Manufacturing jobs. Where this is the case, the assessment item will provide details on the required method of submission.</p> <p>Draft and final responses for all internal assessment will be collected and stored in each student’s folio. Live performance assessments will be recorded and stored as required for QCAA processes. All evidence used for making judgments is stored as described in Nanango State High School’s teacher handbook.</p>
<p>Appropriate materials Section 7.1 Section 8.5.3</p>	<p>Nanango State High School is a supportive and inclusive school. Material and texts are chosen with care in this context by students and staff.</p>

Ensuring academic integrity

Nanango State High School has procedures to ensure that there is consistent application of the assessment policy and that staff and students optimise opportunities to understand academic integrity. The following procedures are to be applied in this context.

Internal assessment administration

QCE and QCIA policy and procedures handbook	Policy and procedures
<p>Scaffolding Section 7.2.1</p>	<p>Scaffolding for assessment helps students understand the process for completing the task. Scaffolding will:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • maintain the integrity of the requirements of the task or assessment instrument • allow for unique student responses and not lead to a predetermined response. <p>Scaffolding may include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • breaking a complex task, learning experience, concept of skill into discrete parts • modelling thought processes required to complete parts of an assessment instrument • pre-teaching vocabulary specific to the subject and assessment instrument • questioning to develop students' conceptions, describe interpretations or challenge opinions that inform a response • showing examples of responses and demonstrating the match to performance descriptors • using visual frameworks or graphic organisers to plan responses <p>Scaffolding for assessment items in Units 3 and 4 should refer to processes or presentation of the response. It may include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • providing a timeline or checkpoints that students can use to manage completion of components of the assessment instrument • guiding students to make predictions and/or reflect on their learning to complete the requirements of the assessment instrument • providing prompts and cues for students about the requirements for their response. <p>Across the phases of learning, students will gradually be given more responsibility for understanding the processes required to complete their tasks.</p>
<p>Checkpoints Section 8.5.3</p>	<p>Checkpoints will:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • be detailed on student task sheets • monitor student progress • be used to establish student authorship. <p>Students will work on assessment during designated times and show evidence of progress at scheduled checkpoints. Teachers will use these checkpoints to identify and support students to complete their assessment.</p> <p>Heads of department and parents/carers may be contacted if checkpoints are not met.</p>
<p>Drafting Section 7.2.2 Section 8.3</p>	<p>Drafting is a key checkpoint. Types of drafts differ depending on subject, e.g. written draft, rehearsal of a performance piece, or a product in development. Drafts may be used as evidence of student achievement in the case of illness or misadventure, or non-submission for other reasons.</p> <p>Drafts of assessment must be submitted via Turnitin (https://www.turnitin.com) - Nanango State High School's academic integrity software.</p> <p>Feedback on a draft is:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • provided on a maximum of one draft of each student's response

QCE and QCIA policy and procedures handbook	Policy and procedures
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • a consultative process that indicates aspects of the response to be improved or further developed • delivered in a consistent manner and format for all students • provided within one week of a submission of a draft. <p>Feedback on a draft must not:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • compromise the authenticity of a student response • introduce new ideas, language or research to improve the quality and integrity of the student work • edit or correct spelling, grammar, punctuation and calculations • allocate a mark. <p>A copy of the feedback will be stored with a hard copy of the draft in the student's folio.</p> <p>Parents and caregivers will be notified by text message/SMS regarding non-submission of drafts and the processes to be followed. Failure to submit a draft by the due date and time will result in students not receiving teacher feedback.</p>
<p>Managing response length Section 7.2.3</p>	<p>Students must adhere to assessment response lengths as specified by syllabus documents. The procedures below support students to manage their response length.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All assessment instruments indicate the required length of the response. • Teaching and learning programs embed subject-specific strategies about responding purposefully within the prescribed conditions of the task. • Model responses within the required length are available. • Feedback about length is provided by teachers at checkpoints. <p>After all these strategies have been implemented, if the student's response exceeds the word length required by the syllabus, the school will:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • mark only the work up to the required length, excluding evidence over the prescribed limit <p>And annotate any such student work submitted for confirmation purposes to clearly indicate the evidence used to determine a mark.</p> <p>Oral presentations will be stopped by the teacher at the maximum length as prescribed in the syllabus</p>
<p>Authenticating student responses Section 7.3.1</p>	<p>Accurate judgments of student achievement can only be made on student assessment responses that are authenticated as their own work.</p> <p>Nanango State High School uses the authentication strategies promoted by the QCAA. The authentication strategies will be specified on assessment instruments and will include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Submissions uploaded to Turnitin (www.turnitin.com) • Evidence provided on student drafts • Evidence provided at check points • Teacher observation within the classroom <p>In cases where a student response is not authenticated as a student's own work, procedures for managing alleged academic misconduct will be followed.</p>

QCE and QCIA policy and procedures handbook	Policy and procedures
<p>Access arrangements and reasonable adjustments, including illness and misadventure (AARA) Section 6</p>	<p>Applications for AARA</p> <p>Nanango State High School is committed to reducing barriers to success for all students. AARA are actions taken by the school to minimise, as much as possible, barriers for a student whose disability, impairment, medical condition or other circumstances may affect their ability to read, respond to or participate in assessment.</p> <p>Nanango State High School follows the processes as outlined in the <i>QCE and QCIA policy and procedures handbook</i> available from www.qcaa.qld.edu.au/senior/certificates-and-qualifications/qce-qcia-handbook-2019.</p> <p>The Nanango State High School principal manages all approval of AARA for students.</p> <p>All AARA applications must be accompanied by the relevant supporting documentation (outlined in Section 6.5.1) and made as far in advance as possible to meet the QCAA published timelines. All evidence used to make decisions is recorded in the student's file by the principal or their delegate.</p> <p>Students are not eligible for AARA on the following grounds:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • unfamiliarity with the English language • teacher absence or other teacher-related issues • matters that the student could have avoided • matters of the student's or parent's/carer's own choosing • matters that the school could have avoided. <p>Applications for extensions to due dates for unforeseen illness and misadventure</p> <p>Students and parents/carers must contact the Deputy Principal – Senior Schooling as soon as possible and submit the relevant supporting documentation.</p> <p>Copies of the medical report template, extension application and other supporting documentation are available from the school website.</p>
<p>Managing non-submission of assessment by the due date Section 8.5</p>	<p>Teachers will collect progressive evidence of student responses to assessment instruments at the prescribed checkpoints.</p> <p>The checkpoints on the instrument-specific task sheets provide details of the evidence that will be collected.</p> <p>In circumstances where students are enrolled in a subject but do not submit a final response to an assessment (other than unseen examinations) and where evidence of student work:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • provided by the student for the purposes of authentication during the assessment preparation period is available, teachers make judgments based on this • was not provided by the student on or before the due date as specified by the school and no other evidence is available, 'Not-Rated' (NR) must be entered in the Student Management system by the date published in the SEP calendar. • Students who do not submit a written script by the due date must not be permitted to deliver any oral component of the task. <p>In circumstances where a student response is judged as NR, the student will not meet the requirements for that subject.</p>
<p>Internal quality assurance processes Section 8.5.3</p>	<p>Nanango State High School's quality management system ensures valid, accessible and reliable assessment of student achievement. This includes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • quality assurance of all assessment instruments before they are administered to students using quality assurance tools provided by the QCAA • quality assurance of judgments about student achievement.

QCE and QCIA policy and procedures handbook	Policy and procedures
	<p>All marks for summative internal assessment for General and General (Extension) subjects are provisional until they are confirmed by the QCAA.</p> <p>Results for Applied and Applied (Essential) subjects and Short Courses may be subject to advice from the QCAA.</p>
Review Section 9.1 Section 9.2 Section 9.5	<p>Nanango State High School internal review processes for student results (including NR) for all General subjects (Units 1 and 2), Applied subjects, Vocational Education and Training Courses (VET) and Short Courses is equitable and appropriate for the local context.</p>

External assessment administration

QCE and QCIA policy and procedures handbook	Policy and procedures
<p>External assessment is developed by the QCAA for all General and General (Extension) subjects Section 7.1.2 Section 7.3.2 Section 10.3 Section 10.4</p> <p>See also: <i>External assessment — administration guide</i> (provided to schools each year)</p>	<p>Nanango State High School is governed by the requirements of QCAA. QCAA publishes rules for External Assessment at the beginning of each year. These rules will be provided to staff, students and parents when available. QCAA Guidelines include the following information:</p> <p>Nanango State High School</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • communicates rules and expectations for external assessment to the school community including teachers, students and parents/carers • maintains the security of external assessment materials • provides supervision and conditions that comply with the external assessment schedule and guidelines <p>School External Assessment (SEA) coordinators:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ensure that all external assessment guidelines and rules are shared with and understood by teachers and students • supervise external assessment, ensuring no undue assistance is provided that contributes to a student’s assessment response <p>Teachers:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • comply with rules and expectations when supervising the external assessment • inform students that the SEA coordinator will be advised of any alleged incident of academic misconduct • report incidents of suspected or observed academic misconduct to the SEA coordinator <p>Students:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • read and comply with the external assessment student rules and information provided by the school • understand the importance of academic integrity when completing external assessment and what constitutes academic misconduct • are aware that if unauthorised material is taken into an assessment room, regardless of whether an attempt is made to use that material, they are in breach of the regulations <p>Breaches of the external assessment rules are a form of academic misconduct. If an alleged incident of academic misconduct by a student is detected, the SEA coordinator is to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • permit the student to complete the assessment • inform the student that an academic misconduct incident report must be completed and submitted to the QCAA

QCE and QCIA policy and procedures handbook	Policy and procedures
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • report an alleged incident of academic misconduct to the QCAA: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ complete an academic misconduct incident report that includes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ a statement from the SEA coordinator and/or invigilator/s which may include witness statements and any relevant circumstances leading up to the incident and details of the discussion after the completion of the assessment
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ a seating plan of each assessment room at all assessment venues ○ return it to the QCAA either with the completed external assessment response or within 24 hours of the alleged incident occurring, whichever is sooner <p>Non-compliance of External assessment guidelines will be investigated by QCAA. Examples of non-compliance include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • rescheduling an external assessment without authorisation from the QCAA • not keeping the external assessment materials secure prior to the scheduled assessment time • accessing external assessment materials, the assessment venue or assessment room without authorisation from the school or QCAA • opening external assessment packages before the time appointed by the QCAA • providing a student with undue assistance in the production of any work that contributes to their external assessment response • leaving students unsupervised or inadequately supervised during external assessment • allowing additional time for the external assessment without authorisation from the QCAA • administering unapproved access arrangements and reasonable adjustments (AARA)

Managing academic misconduct – Internal Assessment

Nanango State High School is committed to supporting students to complete assessment and to submit work that is their own, and minimising opportunities for academic misconduct. There may be a situation when a student inappropriately and falsely demonstrates their learning.

For authorship issues

When authorship of student work cannot be established or a response is not entirely a student's own work Nanango State High School will provide an opportunity for the student to demonstrate that the submitted response is their own work.

For all instances of academic misconduct

Results will be awarded using any evidence from the preparation of the response that is available that is verifiably the student's own work and that was gathered in the conditions specified by the syllabus, on or before the due date.

For instances of academic misconduct during examinations

Students will be awarded a Not-Rated (NR). See the *QCE and QCIA policy and procedures handbook* ([Section 8.5.1](#) and [Section 8.5.2](#)). Where appropriate, the school's behaviour management policy will be implemented.

The following are some examples of academic misconduct along with the procedures for managing them:

	Types of misconduct	Procedures for managing academic misconduct
Cheating while under supervised conditions – internal assessment	A student begins to write during perusal time	Student is provided with a clean copy of the assessment item at the beginning of writing time.
	A student continues to write after the instruction to stop writing is given	Work completed after the instruction is not marked.
	A student uses unauthorised equipment or materials	Student is awarded an NR for the assessment item.
	A student has any notation written on the body, clothing or any object brought into an assessment room	Student is awarded an NR for the assessment item.
Misconduct while under supervised conditions – external assessment	A student communicates with any person other than a supervisor during an examination, e.g. through speaking, signing, electronic device or other means such as passing notes, making gestures or sharing equipment with another student	Consequences applied as per QCAA document ' <i>External Assessment Student Rules</i> ' which is distributed to students at the beginning of each year.
Collusion	Any misconduct carried out during external assessment	Student is removed from the examination venue and an NR is awarded for the assessment item.
	When more than one student works to produce a response and that response is submitted as individual work by one or multiple students	Credit is applied only to parts of the submission that can be verified as the student's own work.
Contract Cheating	When a student assists another student to commit an act of academic misconduct a student gives or receives a response to an assessment	All students involved are awarded an NR for the assessment item.
	A student pays for a person or a service to complete a response to an assessment	Student is awarded an NR for the assessment item.
Copying Work	A student sells or trades a response to an assessment	All students involved are awarded an NR for the assessment item.
	A student deliberately or knowingly makes it possible for another student to copy response	All students involved are awarded an NR for the assessment item.
	A student looks at another student's work during an exam	Student is awarded an NR for the assessment item.
Disclosing or receiving information about an assessment	A student copies another student's work during an exam	Student is awarded an NR for the assessment item.
	A student gives or accesses unauthorised information that compromises the integrity of the assessment, such as stimulus or suggested answers/responses, prior to completing a response to an assessment	All students involved are awarded an NR for the assessment item.

	Types of misconduct	Procedures for managing academic misconduct
Fabricating	A student makes any attempt to give or receive access to secure assessment materials	Student is awarded an NR for the assessment item.
	A student invents or exaggerates data	Credit will be awarded only to work where data can be verified as true and correct
	A student lists incorrect or fictitious references	Credit will be awarded only to work that is correctly referenced.
Impersonation	A student arranges for another person to complete a response to an assessment in their place, e.g. impersonating the student in a performance or supervised assessment	A result of NR will be applied to the assessment item.
	A student completes a response to an assessment in place of another student	A result of NR will be applied to the assessment item.
Misconduct during an examination	A student distracts and/or disrupts others in an assessment room.	The student will be removed from the exam and an NR will be applied to the assessment item.
Plagiarism or lack of referencing	A student completely or partially copies or alters another person's work without attribution (another person's work may include text, audio or audio-visual material, figures, tables, design, images, information or ideas). A student uses Artificial Intelligence in an unacceptable manner to complete assessment tasks.	Work that has been plagiarised is highlighted. Work that is authenticated as the student's own will be used to provide a result.
Self-plagiarism	A student duplicates work, or part of work already submitted as a response to an assessment instrument in the same or any other subject	Credit will be applied only to work that has been submitted for the current assessment item. Previously submitted work will receive no credit.
Provision of Assessment Response to Another Student	A student completes or partially completes an assessment item and voluntarily provides it to another student to assist them in completing the item.	All students involved will receive an NR for the assessment item.
Significant contribution of help	A student arranges for, or allows, a tutor, parent/carer or any person in a supporting role to complete or contribute significantly to the response	A result will be provided on work that was completed during class.

Related school policy and procedures

Refer to other school policies as appropriate:

- Behaviour Management Policy
- Senior Schooling Policy (including VET)
- Appropriate Use of Electronic Devices and Resources Policy
- Internal Moderation Policy (including school procedures for endorsement and confirmation)
- Senior Student Handbook